

1 LYNN H. PASAHOW (CSB No. 054283)  
e-mail: lpasahow@fenwick.com  
2 J. DAVID HADDEN (CSB No. 176148)  
e-mail: dhadden@fenwick.com  
3 DARREN E. DONNELLY (CSB No. 194335)  
e-mail: ddonnelly@fenwick.com  
4 CAROLYN CHANG (CSB No. 217933)  
e-mail: cchang@fenwick.com  
5 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
Silicon Valley Center, 801 California Street  
6 Mountain View, CA 94041  
Telephone: (650) 988-8500  
7 Facsimile: (650) 938-5200

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
INFORMATICA CORPORATION

9  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 INFORMATICA CORPORATION, a  
Delaware corporation,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BUSINESS OBJECTS DATA  
17 INTEGRATION, INC., formerly known as  
18 ACTA TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Delaware  
corporation,

19 Defendant.

20 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  
21

Case No. C 02-03378 JSW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER RE EXPERT REPORTS AND  
DISCOVERY CUT-OFF**

On December 30, 2005, Plaintiff Informatica Corporation (“Informatica”) served its Second Set of Interrogatories on Defendant Business Objects Data Integration (“BODI”), which included an interrogatory requesting BODI’s non-infringement contentions. After the parties met and conferred, BODI agreed to provide a response to the interrogatory that includes its non-infringement contentions by March 31, 2006. Following receipt and review of BODI’s response, Informatica is scheduled to depose BODI’s 30(b)(6) witnesses regarding the operation of the Accused Products on April 7, 2006.

Due to the scheduling of the non-infringement contentions and the deposition of BODI, the parties have negotiated a revised schedule for expert reports and discovery cutoff that does not significantly impact the overall case schedule. The parties have agreed to extend the dates for expert reports and discovery cutoff by one week, while leaving the schedule for dispositive motions unchanged. The parties hereby respectfully request the Court adopt the schedule set forth below.

In accordance with Civil Local Rule 6-2(a), attached hereto is a Declaration of Carolyn Chang setting forth (i) the reasons for the requested enlargement, (ii) a disclosure of all previous modifications in the case, and (iii) a description of the effect of the requested time modification on the schedule for the case.

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED**, by and between the parties, through their respective counsel that:

Event	Date
Parties to comply with Rule 26(a)(2)(A) (witnesses providing expert opinions)	April 3, 2006
Parties to comply with Rule 26(a)(2)(B) (expert reports) with respect to issues on which that party bears the burden of proof	April 17, 2006
Parties to comply with Rule 26(a)(2)(B) (expert reports) in rebuttal/opposition with respect to issues on which the other party bears the burden of proof	May 10, 2006
Discovery cutoff	May 29, 2006
Dispositive motions filed	June 16, 2006 (unchanged)

Hearing on dispositive motions	August 4, 2006 (unchanged)
--------------------------------	----------------------------

Dated: March 29, 2006

Respectfully submitted

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Carolyn Chang  
Carolyn Chang

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
INFORMATICA CORPORATION

Dated: March 29, 2006


TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

By: /s/ Joseph A. Greco  
Joseph A. Greco

Attorneys for Defendant  
BUSINESS OBJECTS DATA INTEGRATION, INC.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: ~~April~~ <sup>March 30</sup> \_\_, 2006

  
Honorable Jeffrey S. White  
United States District Court Judge  
Northern District of California